
Errata for *Lectures on the Nearest Neighbor Method*

G. BIAU AND L. DEVROYE, SPRINGER, CHAM, 2015

October 16, 2017

- **Page 71, Theorem 6.3** Replace $(\ell + 1)$ -th by $(\ell + 1)k$ -th.
- **Page 79, line 12** The formula should be $2 \log(n + 1) + \gamma$, without the extra v (pointed out by Christian Rau).
- **Page 73, Remark 6.6** “worst” should be “worse”.
- **Page 88** Replace the last line by

$$f(x) \leq \psi(\beta, c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \left(\left(\frac{\beta + 1}{2\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} c^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}, 1 + \frac{2c}{\beta + 1} \right)$$

(pointed out by Jiantao Jiao).

- **Page 89, Lemma 7.2** The correct statement and proof of the lemma are as follows (pointed out by Jiantao Jiao):

Lemma 7.2. *If f is a Lipschitz density on $[0, 1]$ satisfying $|f(x) - f(x')| \leq c|x - x'|^\beta$ ($x, x' \in [0, 1]$) for $c > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$, then*

$$\max_{x \in [0, 1]} f(x) \leq \psi(\beta, c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \left(\left(\frac{\beta + 1}{2\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} c^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}, 1 + \frac{2c}{\beta + 1} \right).$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ be such that $f(x_0) = M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} f(x)$. Assume that $[x_0 - (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta}, x_0 + (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta}] \subseteq [0, 1]$. Since $f(x) \geq \max(0, M - c|x - x_0|^\beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_0^1 f(x) dx \geq \int_{x_0 - (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta}}^{x_0 + (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta}} (M - c|x - x_0|^\beta) dx \\ &= \int_{-(\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta}}^{(\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta}} (M - c|x|^\beta) dx \\ &= 2M \left(\frac{M}{c} \right)^{1/\beta} - \frac{2c}{\beta + 1} \left(\frac{M}{c} \right)^{1 + \frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{2M^{1 + \frac{1}{\beta}}}{c^{1/\beta}} \times \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$M \leq \left(\frac{\beta + 1}{2\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} c^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}.$$

One shows with similar arguments that when $(x_0 - (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta} < 0$ and $x_0 + (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta} > 1)$

$$M \leq 1 + \frac{2c}{\beta + 1},$$

while when $(x_0 - (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta} < 0$ and $x_0 + (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta} \leq 1)$ or $(x_0 - (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta} \geq 0$ and $x_0 + (\frac{M}{c})^{1/\beta} > 1)$,

$$M \leq \left(\frac{\beta + 1}{\beta} + \frac{c}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} c^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}.$$

□

- **Page 161, lines -8 and -7** Replace “under the probability sign” by “outside the probability sign” (pointed out by Christian Rau).
- **Page 237, line 9** $\text{Ber}(\mu(\mathbf{x}))$ should be $\text{Ber}(r(\mathbf{x}))$.
- **Page 242, line 3** “Stone’s 1997 paper” should be “Stone’s 1977 paper” (pointed out by Christian Rau).
- **Page 261, Theorem 20.9** The bounded difference condition should be

$$\sup_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n \\ x'_i \in A}} |g(x_1, \dots, x_n) - g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

for some positive constants c_1, \dots, c_n .

- **Page 271, Remark 20.1** $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(\mathbf{y})| \log^+ |g(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y} < \infty$ should be $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(\mathbf{y})| (\log^+ |g(\mathbf{y})|)^{d-1} d\mathbf{y} < \infty$ (pointed out by Arnaud Guyader).
- **Page 273, Lemma 20.7** The second statement of the lemma should be: Moreover, for any Borel set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mu_1 \left(\left\{ \mathbf{x} \in A : \limsup_{\rho \downarrow 0} \left(\frac{\mu_2(B_\rho(\mathbf{x}))}{\mu_1(B_\rho(\mathbf{x}))} \right) > t \right\} \right) \leq \frac{c}{t} \mu_2(A)$$

(pointed out by Jiantao Jiao).